

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/571,740	11/23/2007	Ralph A. Cowden III	4396-060415	6915	
<sup>27668</sup> SETH М. REI	7590 03/25/201 SS AAI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
A LIMITED I	JABILITY LAW COM	MILLIGAN	MILLIGAN, ADAM C		
3770 LURLIN HONOLULU.	E DRIVE HI 96816-4002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		1612			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

seth.reiss@lex-ip.com sethreiss@hawaii.rr.com

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/571,740		COWDEN III ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ADAM MILLIGAN	1612		

	ADAM MILLIGAN	1612						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 07 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 TC ATJ; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any serned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	F 171- 07 OFD 44 07	era di città di con di care di						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)   ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 11-13.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appelant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
Management of the request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:     See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
<del>-</del> —								
/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	/ADAM MILLIGAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner, Art Unit 1612

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed new claim 14 to recite the limitation "on a daily basis". This limitation was not previously considered in regard to the compositions of claims 1-9 and 11-13, and further analysis would be required to determine whether "on a daily basis" would have been obvious. Additionally a further search would also have to be made to determine the state of the art with regard to this issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the newly amended claims are patentable over the prior art references are most at this time due to non-entry of the proposed amendment..